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#### Abstract

Enhancing synthetic efficiency requires the development of synthetic reactions that, to the extent possible, are simple additions wherein everything else is required only in catalytic amounts. The Alder ene reaction constitutes a classical reaction that meets this requirement that has much unrealized potential. A transition-metal-catalyzed version helps to increase that potential by permitting this reaction to proceed under mild conditions. A significant benefit of transition metal catalysis is the feasibility of diverting the reaction along pathways not feasible under thermal conditions. The synthesis of 1,3 -dienes rather than 1,4 -dienes is a very important diversion because of the utility of 1,3 -dienes as reaction partners in the Diels-Alder reaction, another highly atom economical process. A catalyst derived from palladium acetate cycloisomerizes 1,6 - and 1,7-enynes to dialkylidenecyclopentanes and -cyclohexanes. 1,3-Diene formation is favored over the Alder ene process by both steric and electronic effects. The reaction is highly chemoselective-tolerating a wide diversity of functionality including hydroxyl groups, ketones, esters, alkynyl and enol ethers, alkynyl and vinyl silanes, and enones. Many of the substrates are available by palladium-catalyzed alkylation reactions-highlighting the effectiveness of palladium catalyzed methodology in organic synthesis. The atom-economical nature of these reactions combined with the Diels-Alder reaction permit butadiene and dimethyl propargylmalonate to be molded into a polyhydro-as-indacene. The mechanism of this reaction may involve a tautomerization of an enyne- $\mathrm{Pd}(+2)$ complex to a pallada( +4 )cyclopentene intermediate as a key step.


The Alder ene reaction (eq 1, $\mathrm{X}=$ carbon) has a great deal of promise yet to be realized in complex synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ Like its

electronic relative, the Diels-Alder reaction, this simple addition typifies the kind of synthetic reaction that maximizes atom economy. Unlike the Diels-Alder reaction, selectivity issues have restricted its applicability. The limitations stem, in part, from the high temperatures required and low chemoselectivity of the thermal process. The oxaene reaction (eq $1, \mathrm{X}=0$ ) has drawn more attention because of the ease with which it can be catalyzed by Lewis acids, thereby lowering the requisite temperatures of reaction and enhancing the chemoselectivity. ${ }^{2}$ An additional benefit of Lewis acid catalysis has been the feasibility of catalytic asymmetric induction. ${ }^{3}$ Catalysis for the Alder ene reaction could bring similar benefits. ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, recent efforts in these laboratories have revealed the feasibility of using transition-metal complexes to catalyze both the inter- ${ }^{4}$ and intramolecular ${ }^{5}$ versions-the latter being cycloisomerizations. ${ }^{6}$

A major additional benefit of a transition-metal-catalyzed process is the feasibility of diverting the reaction to new pathways not feasible in the thermal reaction. Consider one of the mechanistic rationales of the palladium catalyzed cycloisomerization of enynes (Scheme 1, path a). Assuming a palladacyclopentene intermediate (eg., 1), the Alder ene product derives

[^0]Scheme 1. Path for the $\operatorname{Pd}(+2)$-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization of Enynes

from preferential $\beta$-elimination of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ bond to generate the 1,4 -diene product 2 . This rationale suggests that a 1,3 -diene product 3 may be possible if $\beta$-elimination of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ bond would dominate. While the geometry of the palladacycle 1 may favor path a , path b need not be impossible. ${ }^{7}$ The general importance of 1,3 -dienes in cycloadditions makes path b of prime interest. The mechanism of the Alder ene reaction precludes formation of such a product in a thermal process. Dialkylidenecycloalkenes are normally particularly difficult 1,3-dienes

[^1]to generate because of the thermodynamic instability of an exocyclic double bond. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, simple elimination processes fail unless there are not other regioisomeric products possible. Even so, the harshness of the elimination conditions frequently decomposes the desired product. In this and the accompanying paper, we record a simple cycloisomerization of acyclic enynes to such 1,3-dialkylidenecycloalkanes and its scope and limitations. One of the major applications of this methodology is the utility of the products in subsequent Diels-Alder reactions. Incorporating the dienophile into the substrate then permits this protocol to become a polycyclization by simple cycloisomerization. ${ }^{9}$

## Initial Studies

To explore the feasibility of the process, we examined the reactivity of the enyne 5 available from the well-known aldehyde $4^{10}$ as outlined in eq 2. Subjecting the hydroxy enyne 5 a to 5 mol

\% palladium acetate in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at room temperature gave a $50 \%$ yield of the hydroxy diene $\mathbf{6 a}$, whose structure is easily verified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (see Experimental Section). The instability of this product led us to investigate whether this factor was responsible for the modest yield. Cyclization of the corresponding silyl ether $\mathbf{5 b}$ proceeded more slowly-requiring $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than room temperature-but the yield increased to $70 \%$. The acetate 5 c cyclized with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (7) ${ }^{11}$ to give the diene 6 c in $57 \%$ yield at reflux in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$.

To probe the effect of substitution on the acetylene, we used the enyne 8 as the template in which the lithio species was quenched with $N$-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) ${ }^{12}$ (to give 9a, 33\%), phenylbenzenethiosulfonate ${ }^{13}$ (to give 9b, 65\%), chloromethyl phenyl sulfide (to give 9c, 20\%), acetic anhydride-boron trifluoride etherate ${ }^{14}$ (to give 9d, 43\%), chloromethyl methyl ether (to give $9 \mathrm{e}, 92 \%$ ), ethylene oxide followed by methyl iodide (to give 9f, $42 \%$ ), trimethylchlorosilane (to give $9 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ), and methyl iodide (to give $9 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$ ). The ethoxyacetylene 9 i was prepared by adding lithium ethoxyacetylide to 4 followed by $p$-methoxybenzylation ( $48 \%$ yield).

[^2]

Not surprisingly, neither the chloro- nor (phenylthio)acetylides 9 a and 9 b gave any cycloadducts regardless of reaction conditions. Somewhat more surprisingly, the (phenylthio) methyl derivative 9c also failed. In many cases, thioethers are compatible with homogeneous catalysts. Whether the presence of the thio substituent at the propargylic position was specifically responsible for the failure has not yet been established. The acetyl derivative 9 d behaved similarly to the ester 5 but gave the product 10 d in only $40 \%$ yield. The methoxymethyl derivative 9 e cycloisomerizes to diene 10e, but the yield depends upon the quality of the catalyst. Utilizing the crystallized complex bis(tri-o-tolylphosphine)palladium acetate ${ }^{11}$ gave a $77 \%$ yield; on the other hand, less pure catalyst not only gave lower yields but also formed an isomeric mixture of the $E$ (i.e., 10 e ) and $\boldsymbol{Z}$ (i.e., 11e) isomers. The $\boldsymbol{Z}$ diene 11 is characterized by the vinyl proton adjacent to X being at
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12


13
higher field than in the $\boldsymbol{E}$ diene ( $\delta 5.77$ vs 6.16 ) and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ adjacent to O being at lower field than in the isomer ( $\delta 4.21 \mathrm{vs}$ 4.06)-consistent with the expected deshielding effect of the adjacent double bond. Considering that MM2 calculations indicated a $4.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower energy for the $\boldsymbol{E}$ isomer, this equilibration is most surprising. The alkyl- and silyl-substituted acetylenes ( $9 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}$ ) generally reacted more slowly-requiring higher temperatures and/or longer reaction times. Under these conditions, further reaction of the initial products led to isomerization and/or lower yields (due to decomposition). Using nonligated palladium acetate did speed up the reactions but led to more isomerization and/or decomposition. For example, the methoxyethyl and methyl substrates 9 f and 9 h cyclized to a mixture of three isomers: $10,11\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and $12\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}\right.$ ) (from $9, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 74 \%$, 2:1:1) (from 9, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 81 \%, 3.5: 1: 1$ ). The trimethylsilyl analogue 9 g cycloisomerized under similar conditions to a $\mathbf{3 : 1}$ mixture of 10 g and 11 g in $55 \%$ yield.
Convinced that the source of the problems with these latter substrates rested on the reactivity of the catalyst, we turned to the question of ligand design as a way to fine-tune reactivity. The ligand must impart sufficient kinetic reactivity to allow these more sluggish substrates to react but inhibit further reactions of our products. In conjuction with a project directed toward the total synthesis of the picrotoxane family, we encountered a similar problem. ${ }^{15}$ Our solution proved to be the use of $N, N$-bis(benzylidene)ethylenediamine (BBEDA, 13) as ligand. The acceptor-donor properties of this ligand appear to represent a reasonable compromise in adjusting the reactivity of the palladium acetate. It had dramatic effects on these cyclizations. For example, cyclization of $9 f$ now gave almost pure diene $10 f$ in virtually quantitative yield using 5\% BBEDA and $5 \%$ palladium acetate as catalyst. Similar results were obtained in the cyclizations of 9 g (to $\mathbf{1 0 g}, 89 \%$ yield) and 9 h (to $\mathbf{1 0 h}, 88 \%$ yield). The sensitivity of the ethoxyacetylene substrate and its cycloisomer

[^3]only led to decomposition with all catalysts except that derived from the employment of BBEDA where the cycloisomer 10 i was isolated in $32 \%$ yield.

The effects of substituents on the tether were briefly examined. The order of reactivity in the above cases suggests that electronwithdrawing groups by both resonance and inductive effects enhance the rate of these reactions. In order to ascertain the role, if any, of the propargylic alkoxy substituent which was present simply from the point of view of synthetic convenience in cases where the other terminal alkyne substituent did not possess an electron withdrawing group, we examined the silylacetylene 14 (eq 4). Using BBEDA as ligand, cycloisomerization occurred

smoothly at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give diene 15 as a single geometric isomer in $85 \%$ yield. The presence of the geminal alkyl substituents also stems from ease of availability of substrates. While such groups may faciliate cyclization due to the Thorpe-Ingold effect, they are not required. Cyclization of the enyne 16 (eq 5) proceeded with facility equal to that of many of the others recorded above to give diene 17 in $83 \%$ yield, also as a single geometric isomer using BBEDA as ligand.


We have concentrated our efforts on formation of fivemembered rings. Nevertheless, we did examine one case for formation of a six-membered ring (eq 6). This reaction proved


more sensitive to exact reaction conditions. The use of ligands inhibited reaction; thus, palladium acetate under ligandless conditions proved to be the superior catalyst. Solvent also had an effect. The normally employed solvents like benzene and $1,2-$ dichloroethane (DCE) gave lower yields of cycloisomer 19 ( $\sim 30 \%$ ). Cyclization of 18 proceeded best with $5 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereby a $60 \%$ yield of the diene 19 arose after 7 h . The purity of the substrate also had a rate effect. Chromatographed 18 required 18 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a $57 \%$ yield of diene 19; whereas, distilled 18 gave the best result, as stated above.

## Allylic Substitutent Effects on Regioselectivity

In all of the above cases, the absence of an allylic substituent bearing hydrogen that could lead to a normal ene type product (eq 1) dictates that only 1,3 -dienes can form. The utility of the reaction increases if this structural feature is not a requirement for successful synthesis of the 1,3 -diene. Steric factors influence regioselectivity. Whereas an allylic methylene group led smoothly to the Alder ene type product (eq 7), ${ }^{5}$ branching at the allylic

position completely changed the regioselectivity, For example, an allylic methylene group led smoothly to the Alder ene type product (eq 7), ${ }^{5}$ but branching at the allylic position completely changed the regioselectivity. The cyclohexyl substrate 20a, readily
available by $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylation (20a, $71 \%$ yield) (eq 8 ),

was smoothly cycloisomerized to give a diene in $64 \%$ yield (THF, $66^{\circ}$ ). That the diene was not the Alder ene type product 22a was first demonstrated by its nonidentity with the compound prepared by flash vacuum thermolysis. The UV spectrum suggested the 1,3-diene 21 ( $\lambda_{\text {MAX }} 255 \mathrm{~nm} \in 5800$ ), which was verified by its smooth participation in a Diels-Alder reaction (eq 9). Further-

more, the 1,3-diene 21a did not arise by isomerization of the Alderene product 22a, which was demonstrated by an unsuccessful attempt to convert the latter to the former under the palladiumcatalyzed reaction conditions. Replacing the methoxycarbonyl groups by the bulkier phenylsulfonyl groups led to a rate enhancement. Enyne 20b cyclized at ambient temperature in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ to give diene 21b in $72 \%$ yield.

The regioselectivity may derive from the strain associated with placing a double bond exocyclic to a six-membered ring, as present in the Alder ene type product 22. To explore this possibility as well as the chemoselectivity, the steroidal enyne 23, also prepared


by $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylation ( $62 \%$ yield), was examined. Cycloisomerization with $5 \%\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in benzene at reflux gave a single diene ( $80 \%$ yield) whose spectroscopic properties clearly indicated it was the 1,3 -diene 24. Particularly diagnostic was the presence of the side-chain methyl group ( $\delta 1.00$ ) as a doublet ( $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

Heteroatom branching led to a similar result. Thus, cycloisomerization of enyne 25, prepared by the "standard" method ( $55 \%$ yield), gave the 1,3 -diene 26 as the sole product (eq 11). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (see Experimental Section) unambiguously defined the structure.

There also appears to be an electronic effect operating in the latter case, Thus, the "unbranched" substrate 27a might have been expected to yield the Alder ene type product 28a; instead,

its cycloisomerization produced only 1,3-diene 29a in $80 \%$ yield. That the ( $p$-methoxybenzyl)oxy group did not play a role in affecting the regioselectivity was indicated by the cyclization of $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ under identical conditions, which gave only 1,3-diene 29b in $92 \%$ yield (eq 12).

What is the source of the regioselectivity in these latter cyclizations? Could the potential coordinating properties of oxygen to palladium influence the regioselectivity? The use of the TBDMS group should minimize such a role for the oxygen substituent. Examination of enyne 30 further probes this point, since (1) the methyl ether should coordinate more strongly than a silyl ether and (2) coordination via a five-membered ring (e.g. 31) should be better than that for the four-membered ring required

in the case of enynes 25 and 27 . The fact that the Alder ene product 32 rather than the 1,3 -diene 33 was formed suggests that oxygen coordination is not the regiochemical determining factor in the cycloisomerization of eqs 11 and 12. Thus the source of the oxygen effect appears to be electronic in nature.

## Remote Binding

The notion that coordination as depicted in 31 could influence regioselectivity derived from our studies of the cycloisomerization of the geranyl-derived substrate 34, which cyclized exclusively to the triene 36 (eq 14).5,16 The critical role that the remote

double bond played in controlling the regioselectivity led us to suggest that coordination of this double bond as depicted in 35 could geometrically preclude $\beta$-hydrogen elimination of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ bond, therefore directing elimination toward $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$. While an oxygen substituent apparently does not play such a role, we were intrigued as to whether a double bond might. By analogy to the case of 34 , the cycloisomerization of 38 a was compared with that of its saturated derivative 38 b to examine the feasibility of remote

binding as a regiochemical control element. Both substrates were readily accessible by $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed allylic alkylation from allyl

[^4]Table 1. Regioselectivity of Cycloisomerization of Enynes 38a and b

| entry | substrate | catalyst | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ratio } \\ & 39 / 40 \end{aligned}$ | yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 38a | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 15:1 | 77\% |
| 2 | 38a | $\left[\left(0-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 2.7:1 | 87\% |
| 3 | 38a | $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 1:2.1 | 73\% |
| 4 | 38a | BBEDA-Pd(OAc) ${ }_{2}$ | 6.9:1 | 70\% |
| 5 | 38b | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | only 40 | 39\% |
| 6 | 38b | $\left[\left(0-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 1:2.6 | 74\% |
| 7 | 38b | $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 1:2.9 | 78\% |

Table 2. Regioselectivity of the Cycloisomerization of Dienynes 42a and $b$

| entry | catalyst | ratio 43/44 (\% yield) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | from 42a | from 42b |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 21:1 (76\%) | 1.1:1 (75\%) |
| 2 | $\left[\left(0-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | >20:1 (N.D.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1:1.6 (74\%) |
| 3 | $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 2.7:1 (N.D.) | 1:4.6 (81\%) |
| 4 | $3 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, 2 \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 1:2.3 (24\%) |  |

${ }^{a}$ N.D. $=$ not determined.
esters 37a and b (see Experimental Section). The results are summarized in Table 1. The important role played by the remote double bond is clearly established by comparing entries 1 and 5 whereby excellent selectivity for formation of the 1,3-diene 39 occurred in the presence of the remote olefin (i.e., 38a) and excellent selectivity for formation of the 1,4-diene 40 occurred in its absence (i.e., 38b). An intermediate such as 41 accom-

modates these observations whereby remote binding geometrically precludes $\beta$-hydrogen elimination of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ bond. While the geometry for insertion into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ bond is not ideal, a fact which explains the normal preferential formation of the Alder ene type products, its lower bond strength due to its allylic nature compensates for the geometrical impediment.

To the extent that an external ligand may compete with the double bond for coordination, this effect should be diminished. This trend is observed. Adding $o$-tolylphosphine decreased the selectivity for 1,3 -diene formation (entry 2 ), and the stronger binder triphenylphosphine (entry 3) reversed the selectivity, i.e., favored formation of the 1,4-diene. In the latter case, the results were very comparable to those of the saturated analogue $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ (cf. entries 3 and 7). BBEDA proved a poorer competitor for the olefin coordination than the phosphines. This observation is in accord with the normally better coordinating properties of phosphines compared to imines toward palladium.

Moving the remote double bond one more carbon away would lead to an internally coordinated structure such as 41b, which should be as favorable or perhaps more favorable than 41a because of the larger ring size. Equation 16 and Table 2 summarize the

results. The observations strongly support the notion that coordination with a three-carbon spacer is stronger than that with a two-carbon spacer. In the absence of an external ligand, the intrinsic regioselectivity was higher (42a, entry 1). It took a higher ratio of external ligand to phosphine of a stronger coordinating phosphine to compete effectively with the internal coordination (42a, entries 2-4). Extending the tether by an additional carbon as in 42b requires an internal coordination via

Scheme 2, Synthesis and Cyclization of Methyl (Z)-10,10-bis(methoxycarbonyl)tridec-7-en-2,12-diynoate (46) ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (a) $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 83 \%$. (b) (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, rt; (ii) $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{rt}$; $48 \%$ overall. (c) (i) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, hexane-ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) NaH , dimethyl propargylmalonate, THF, rt; $95 \%$ overall. (d) See text.

41c which virtually abolishes this effect (eq 16 and Table 2, 42b, entries 1-3).

Since an acetylene should coordinate with palladium more strongly than an olefin, we explored substrates 45 and 46 . The former was prepared by straightforward $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed allylic alkylation (eq 17), and the latter, by the route outlined in Scheme 2. The ratio of the 1,3 -diene $\mathbf{4 7}$ to the 1,4 -diene $\mathbf{4 8}$ increased to 26:1 compared to the analogous substrate bearing an olefin as a remote binding site (eq 15, 15:1) using palladium acetate as catalyst.


Enediyne 46 examines the issue of chemoselectivity as well as explores the role of the remote binding group. Cyclization with palladium acetate in the presence or absence of BBEDA in DCE gave only 1,3 -dienes but as a mixture of the two possibilities 49 and 50 (2.5:1 to $1: 1$ in the absence and presence of ligand, respectively). Thus, while a Thorpe-Ingold effect favors 49, the electronic effect favoring 50 sufficiently competes to lead to both products being formed. In the absence of ligand, a $9 \%$ yield of a macrocyclic isomer 51 was also detected-a type of reactivity that we have previously optimized by use of strong donor phosphine ligands on palladium. ${ }^{17}$


The excellent regioselectivity for formation of 1,3 -dienes in substrates bearing an olefin or an acetylene separated from the enyne by a two- or three-carbon spacer led us to consider a onepot tandem double cycloisomerization of the dienyne 42a involving the palladium-catalyzed enyne cyclization followed by a thermal Diels-Alder reaction. Heating a toluene solution of 42a containing $5 \%$ palladium acetate generated the tricycle 52 in $72 \%$ yield (eq 18). Following the reaction by gas chromatography revealed the enyne cyclization was complete in only 30 min under these conditions.

[^5]

## Discussion

This catalytic cycloisomerization of enynes to 1,3 -dienes constitutes a simple and highly efficient method for the construction of 1,2-dialkylidenecyclopentanes and -cyclohexanes. Furthermore, construction of many of the substrates also takes advantage of palladium-catalyzed methodology. ${ }^{18}$ For example, the enyne 42b was prepared as outlined in eq $19^{19}$ (also cf. eqs 8,10 , and 11 ) as a $4: 1 E / Z$ ratio which was used in the subsequent cyclizations.


The synthesis of 42 a and ultimately the tricycle 52 proves most interesting from a strategic point of view (eq 20). Palladium-

catalyzed addition of butadiene and acetic acid generated a 1:3 ratio of regioisomeric allylic acetates 54 and $55 .{ }^{20}$ Since the regioselectivity of the subsequent allylic alkylation is independent of the regioisomeric nature of the starting material, this mixture was directly employed in a second palladium-catalyzed alkylation to give dienyne 42a in 70\% yield as a 6.3:1 trans/cis ratio. Palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerization generated triene 43a or, if performed at higher temperature, the tricycle 52. The tricycle was then derived from the equivalent of simple addition of butadiene and dimethyl propargylmalonate by three consecutive palladium-catalyzed reactions with acetic acid being recyclable. Thus, these palladium-catalyzed processes create synthetic strategy with extremely high atom economy.

[^6]The mechanism of this reaction as outlined in Scheme 1 must be considered speculative at the present time. Support derives from an analogous pathway with tetracarbomethoxycyclopentadiene, ${ }^{22}$ but a hydridopalladium acetate pathway also exists. ${ }^{21}$ Whether the reactions catalyzed by palladium acetate follow one or the other of these pathways or as yet an undefined one cannot be stated with any degree of confidence. On the other hand, there are clear differences between a hydridopalladium acetate pathway and that using palladium acetate. ${ }^{23}$ For this reason, we currently favor the pathway depicted in Scheme 1.24 The fact that there is increasing evidence for the involvement of the +4 valence state in the organic chemistry of palladium lends further credence to this proposal. ${ }^{25}$
In examining the palladacyclopentene 1, we see that the weakest $\beta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is that of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, which would have led to the expectation that the products should be the 1,3-dienes. The normal preference for the Alder ene type product (Scheme 1, path a) must then derive from the poor overlap of this $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ bond and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pd}$ in the palladacyclopentene. On the other hand, if we increase the steric congestion around $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ or make the carbon to which $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is attached electron deficient by adding an electronegative substituent, we tilt the reaction in favor of the 1,3-diene product (Scheme 1, path b).
The third factor that favors 1,3 -diene formation is geometrical. Since the $\beta$-hydrogen elimination is known to favor a dihedral angle as close to zero as possible, ${ }^{26}$ geometrically inhibiting such an orientation directs the regioselectivity away from that hydrogen. The presence of an additional $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ unsaturation two or three atoms away from the olefin creates a pseudocycle which forces the dihedral angles between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pd}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ to be $>90^{\circ}$, as depicted in 56. Removing the geometric flexibility for this angle

to approach $0^{\circ}$ easily for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ makes this geometric factor equivalent for insertion into either $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and then allows the lower bond strength of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ to dominate as a contributor to the transition state energy for the $\beta$-hydrogen elimination.

By proper choice of substrate, either type of product may be obtained, as illustrated in eqs $21^{5}$ and 22. Enyne 30 follows the "normal" Alder ene type pathway (eq 21). Simply interconverting the olefin and acetylene as in $9 f$ then provides access to the 1,3diene product 10 f (eq 22).

The importance of these difficultly obtainable dienes has led to the generation of other methods for their construction. ${ }^{8,27}$ The

[^7]
recently disclosed reductive cyclizations of diynes provides useful alternatives. Two methods have been developed: (1) a palladiumcatalyzed reductive cyclization using stoichiometric amounts of an acid and a silane ${ }^{28}$ and (2) a stoichiometric reduction with low-valent titanium or zirconium followed by stoichiometric protonation. ${ }^{29,30}$ An important difference between these two strategies is the difference in atom economy. The current method is a simple isomerization with anything else being used only catalytically, which is not the case for either of the above processes. On the other hand, there will be cases where one method succeeds and the others fail.

The formation of polycycles mediated by cobalt also provides a powerful method for cycloisomerization involving direct capture of a presumed cobaltacyclopentadiene. ${ }^{31}$ This method does not provide access to the dienes but requires the presence of an additional unsaturation, either external or internal. While the products are at a different oxidation level than that achieved by cyclization to a diene followed by Diels-Alder reactions, the structural motif generated will be similar.

All of these variations have their individual strengths and weaknesses. They share a potential simplicity of folding readily available acyclic structures into ring systems, and thus all should find utility in organic synthesis.

## Experimental Section

General Techniques. Reactions were generally run under a positive pressure of dry nitrogen in glassware which was flame-dried under a stream of dry nitrogen. Anhydrous solvents or reaction mixtures were distilled before use: benzene, dichloromethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, diisopropylamine, hexane, and methyl chloroformate from calcium hydride; dimethylformamide from barium hydroxide; ether, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and toluene from sodium benzophenone ketyl; pyridine and triethylamine from potassium hydroxide; chlorotrimethylsilane from tri- $n$-butylamine or calcium hydride. Palladium acetate was used as provided from Aldrich Chemical Company. Flash chromatography following the method of Still ${ }^{32}$ employed E. Merck silica gel (Kieselgel $60,200-400 \mathrm{mesh}$ ). Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed with 0.2 mm coated commercial silica gel plates (E. Merck, DCPlastikfolien, Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ). Melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover apparatus in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Boiling points are also uncorrected. Kugelrohr distillation was performed on a Buchi GKR-50 glass tube oven.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker WP-200 ( 200 MHz ), Bruker WP-270 ( 270 MHz ), Bruker AM-500 ( 500 MHz ), Nichole NT-300 ( 300 MHz ), or Varian XL-400 ( 400 MHz ). Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units, parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane, or ppm relative to the singlet at 7.24 ppm for chloroform $-d_{1}$. Splitting patterns are designated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; $t$, triplet; $q$, quartet; $p$, pentet; $m$, multiplet; br, broad. Coupling constants are reported in hertz (Hz). Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR $)$ spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 300 ( 75 MHz ), Varian XL-400 ( 100 MHz ), or Bruker AM-500 $(125 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer and are reported in ppm relative to the center line of a triplet at 77.00 ppm for chloroform- $d_{1}$. Routine ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
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spectra were fully decoupled by broad-band decoupling. The degree of substitution at carbon was determined by ERNST techniques on the Bruker AM-500 or the attached proton test (APT) on the Varian FX400.

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded in 0.1 mm path length sodium chloride cavity cells on a Nicolet 205 FT-IR or Perkin-Elmer 1420. High resolution mass spectra (MS) were recorded on an AE1-MS902, Kratos MS25, Kratos MS80, or Kratos MS9 spectrometer at an ionizing current of 98 mA and an ionizing voltage of 70 eV , unless otherwise noted, and are reported as $m / e$ (relative intensity). Low-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Hewlett Packard gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer, using a Hewlett Packard 5890A gas chromatograph with a 25 $\mathrm{m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d. SE- 30 column and a Hewlett Packard 5970 series mass selective detector with an ionizing voltage of 70 eV . The detection range was restricted to $\mathrm{M} \pm 5$ units and is reported as $m / e$ (relative intensity). Microanalyses were performed by Sprang Microanalytical Laboratories, Eagle Harbor, MI, or Robertson Laboratory Inc., Madison, NJ.

Analytical gas chromatography (GC) was performed on a Varian Model 3700 gas chromatograph using an ALLTECH $25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d. SE- 30 column, with flame ionization detection. Three temperature programs were used: (method A) $T_{\mathrm{i}}=50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, T_{\mathrm{f}}=250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rate $=10$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; (method B ) $T_{\mathrm{i}}=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, T_{\mathrm{f}}=250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rate $=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; (method C) $T_{\mathrm{i}}=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, T_{\mathrm{f}}=250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rate $=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ holding $T_{\mathrm{f}}$ for 10 min . Analytical GC was also performed using a stainless steel $10 \%$ OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP 80/100, $10 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.085 \mathrm{in}$. i.d. column, using the temperature program of method $\mathrm{D}: T_{\mathrm{i}}=50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, T_{\mathrm{f}}=250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rate $=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Preparative GC was performed on a Varian Model 3700 gas chromatograph using a stainless steel $10 \%$ OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP 80 $/ 100,10 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.210 \mathrm{in}$. i.d. column. The separations were performed isothermally with thermal conductivity detection.

Preparation of Methyl 5,5-Dimethyl-4-hydroxyoct-7-en-2-ynoate (5a), $n$-Butyllithium ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of propiolic acid ( $0.061 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed, after 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, by 2,2-dimethyl-4-pentenal ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then warmed to room temperature and stirred a further 1.5 h . The reaction was poured into ether-saturated aqueous sodium carbonate, and the aqueous phase was then acidified to pH 1 with concentrated hydrochloric acid. Extraction of the aqueous phase with ether ( $3 \times$ ) was followed by drying the solvent over magnesium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo yielded a yellow oil which was dissolved in ether ( 5 mL ). An etheral solution of diazomethane was added to the former solution until the evolution of bubbles ceased, at which time a few drops of acetic acid were added. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the resultant oil purified by flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexane/ether) to yield the title compound as a clear oil, $118 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3602, 3080, 2978, 1713, 1437, $1258,1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 5.71$ (dq, $J=16,9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{bd}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{bd}, J$ $=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 67.33 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.22$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.25$.

Preparation of Methyl 5,5-Dimethyl-4-(trimethylsiloxy) oct-7-en-2ynoate (5b). A mixture of the hydroxy ene 5 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, O$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (BSA) ( $0.188 \mathrm{~mL}, 3$ equiv) in THF ( 1 mL ) was heated at reflux for 6 h . After evaporation of the solvent in vacuo, the oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexane), yielding the title compound as a clear oil, $66 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3074, 2960, $2229,1709,1639,1432,1260,1094,1059,855,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}$ $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{13}-$ $\mathrm{SiO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)$ 185.1743, found 185.1531 .

Preparation of Methyl 4-Acetoxy-5,5-dimethyloct-7-en-2-ynoate (5c). Alcohol 5a ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $0.040 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to 2 mL of methylene chloride. After cooling the solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acetic anhydride ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the resulting solution stirred for 2 h . Workup of the reaction involved pouring the crude mixture into a separatory funnel containing ether-saturated aqueous copper sulfate. The organic phase was washed with saturated sodium chloride and the solvent dried over magnesium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo followed by flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether) yielded the title compound as a clear oil, $47 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3080,2979,2938,2221,1740$, $1713,1641,1436,1371,1260,1227,1021 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 5.66$ (ddt, $\left.J=16,10,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 238.1200$, found 238.1185.

Preparation of (E)-2-(Carbomethoxymethylidene)-4,4-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-1-methylenecyclopentane (6a), A mixture of enyne 5 ( 12 mg , 0.07 mmol ) and palladium acetate ( $0.9 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in benzene- $d_{6}$ ( 0.450 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . Flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexane/ether) through a short plug of silica gel provided the title compound as an unstable clear oil, $6 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3480, 2959, 1691, 1649, 1620, 1439, 1350, 1208, 1044, $1019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.11 (br s, 1H), 4.89 (d, $J=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ (br s, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.39 (d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). MS: 178 (0.7), 177 (10.2), 163 (26.0), 153 (13.5), 149 (29.8), 135 (40.7), 121 (14.7), 93 (40.3), 55 ( 95.1 ), 43 ( 100.0 ). HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ 178.1014, found 178.1007 .

Preparation of ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-2-(carbomethoxymethylidene)-4,4-dimethyl-1-methylene-3-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentane (6b), A mixture of the siloxy enyne 5 b ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and palladium acetate ( $0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in benzene- $d_{6}(0.450 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The crude oil was passed through a plug of Florisil (15:1 hexane/ether) to yield the title compound as a clear oil, $14 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2960,1711$, $1655,1620,1436,1359,1340,1250,1204,1175,1090,877,841 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: caled for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) 221.2113$, found: 221.2176.

Preparation of 3-Acetoxy-(E)-2-[(E)-carbomethoxymethylidene]-4,4 dimethyl-1-methylenecyclopentane (6c). A solution of $5 \mathrm{c}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ mmol ) and bis(triphenylphosphine) palladium acetate ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in benzene- $d_{6}(0.450 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 h . The crude reaction mixture was added to a flash chromatography column and the diene 6 c eluted ( $7: 2$ hexane/ether) as a clear oil, 12 mg ( $57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ( $\mathbf{M}^{+}$) 238.1200, found: 238.1205.

4,4-Dimethyl-3-((p-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-hepten-1-yne (8). A solution of aldehyde $4(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 44.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of THF was added to a $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of lithium (trimethylsilyl)acetylide generated from $n$-butyllithium ( 44.6 mL of 1.5 M solution in hexane, 67 mmol ) and (trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $6.56 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of THF. After 3 $h$ at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride and the reaction mixture extracted with ether. After concentration of the ethereal extracts, the residue was stirred in 30 mL of $10 \%$ methanolic potassium hydroxide at room temperature overnight. Evaporation of solvent followed by standard aqueous extractive workup gave a yellow oil which was distilled at $75-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 12.5 mmHg to give 5.09 g ( $83 \%$ yield) of 4,4-dimethyl-6-hepten-1-yn-3-ol. IR (neat): 3410 , $3295,3060,2100,1635,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dm}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{db}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

A solution of this alcohol ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of THF was added to a suspension of KH ( $35 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in oil, $2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 20.7 \mathrm{mmol}$, washed free of oil with hexane) in 5 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 min , a solution of p-methoxybenzyl bromide (PMB-Br; $4.54 \mathrm{~g}, 22.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of THF was added. After 3 h at room temperature, and quenching with ammonium chloride, standard extractive workup and purification by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution using from $3 \%$ to $5 \%$ ether/hexane) gave 3.63 g ( $82 \%$ yield) of $8, R_{f} 0.37$ ( $5 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat): 3285, 3060, 2950, 2010, 1610, 1510, 1245, 1065, $1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=12,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} 258.1618$, found 258.1616 .

6,6-Dimethyl-5-((p-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-8-nonen-3-yn-2-one (9d). A solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 0.84 mL of 1.5 M solution, 1.26 mmol ) was added to alkyne $8(308.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.196 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 h , boron trifluoride etherate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for another 40 min . A solution of acetic anhydride ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3 mL of THF was precooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then added to the mixture in one portion. After another hour of stirring, the mixture was quenched with 2 N aqueous NaOH solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Normal ethereal extractive workup followed by flash chromatography (ether/hexane) gave the starting material (8) (134.2 mg, $43.5 \%$ recovery,
$R_{f} 0.36$ ) followed by the title compound ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 43.5 \%, R_{f} 0.11$ with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ). IR (neat): $3060,2950,2190,1675,1610,1510,1240,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{dm}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} 300.1719$, found: 300.1726 .

5,5-Dimethyl-1-methoxy-4-((p-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-7-octen-2-yne (9e). Freshly distilled methoxymethyl chloride ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of lithiated acetylene 8 prepared as described above from $n$-butyllithium ( 0.9 mL of 1.5 M solution, 1.28 mmol ) and $8(301 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.17 mmol ) in 20 mL of THF. After 3 h at room temperature, quenching with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution, extracting with ether, drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporation of solvent, the resultant yellow liquid was flash chromatographed ( $15 \%$ ether/hexane) to give the title compound $\left[0.3241 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%, R_{f} 0.07\right.$ ( $5 \%$ ether/hexane)]. IR (neat): 3060, 2945, 2920, 2855, 2820, 1605, 1505, 1240, 1110, $1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75$ (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} 302.1875$, found: 302.1882.

6,6-Dimethyl-1-methoxy-5-( $($ p-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-8-nonen-3-yne (9n), To a solution of lithiated 8 prepared from alkyne $8(251 \mathrm{mg}, 0.973 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $n$-butyllithium ( 0.72 mL of 1.5 M solution, 1.07 mmol ) in 10 mL of hexane at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as above was added $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.973 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by a large excess of ethylene oxide in ether ( 2 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature overnight, the reactionwas quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, and the reaction mixture was extracted with ether and flash chromatographed ( $60 \%$ ether/hexane) to give 6,6 -dimethyl-1-hydroxy-5-( $p$-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-8-nonen-3-yne ( $123.2 \mathrm{mg}, 41.9 \%$, $R_{f} 0.23$ using $60 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat): $3360,3060,2950,1610$, $1510,1240,1060,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (s, 3 H$), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52$ (dt, $J=6.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
A solution of the above alcohol ( $123.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.408 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3 mL of THF was added to a slurry of KH ( $35 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in oil dispersion, 56 mg , 0.49 mmol , washed with hexane) in 2 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 min . Methyl iodide ( $0.051 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 2 h , the mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, extracted with ether, and flash chromatographed ( $15 \%$ ether/hexane) to give the title compound ( $R_{f} 0.23$ using $15 \%$ ether/hexane) in quantitative yield. IR (neat): 3060, 2950, 2920, 2860, 1610, 1510, 1240, 1110, $1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}$, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} 316.2031$, found 316.2030 .
4,4-Dimethyl-3-( $p$-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-1-(trimethylsilyl)-6-hepten1 -yne ( 9 g ). To a solution of lithiated 8 generated from the corresponding alkyne ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 0.7 mL of a 1.5 M solution, 1.05 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{TMSCl}(0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of THF. After being stirred at room temperature for 2 h , the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and worked-up as above to give, after column chromatography ( $3 \%$ ether/ hexane), the title compound, $209 \mathrm{mg}\left(78 \%, R_{f} 0.5\right.$ with $10 \%$ ether/ hexane). IR (neat): $3060,2950,2160,1610,1510,1245,1168,1065$, $1035,840,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{ddt}, J=17.8,9.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{bd}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}_{2} 330.2015$, found: 330.2007 .
5,5-Dimethyl-4-(( $p$-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-7-octen-2-yne (9h). Methyl iodide ( $193 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to lithiated 8 generated from alkyne and $n$-butyllithium ( 0.83 mL of 1.5 M solution, 1.24 mmol ) in 5 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and worked-up as before to give, after column chromatography ( $5 \%$ ether/hexane), the title product 281.6 mg ( $86 \%$, $R_{f} 0.32$ with $10 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat) 3060, 2950, 2920, 1610,

1510, 1460, 1297, 1240, 1168, 1060, 1030, $910,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{ddt}, J=17.8,9.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (q, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} 272.1776$, found: 272.1774.

4,4-Dimethyl-1-ethoxy-3-((p-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-hepten-1-yne (9i), To a solution of lithium ethoxyacetylide (generated from $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ of ethoxyacetylene and $5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ of $1.5 \mathrm{M} n$-butyllithium) in 10 mL of THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added aldehyde $4(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 7.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of THF. After 1.5 h , the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium chloride solution and the reaction mixture was workedup as before to give, after column chromatography ( $10 \%$ then $20 \%$ ether/ hexane) the title compound ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 82.3 \%, R_{f} 0.20$ with $20 \%$ ether/ hexane). IR (neat): $3430,3070,2060,2260,1640,1235,1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.10(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38$ (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

A solution of the above alcohol ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of THF was added to a slurry of $\mathrm{KH}(35 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in oil, $0.276 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ washed with hexane) in 2 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After a few minutes, $\mathrm{PMB}-\mathrm{Br}$ ( $0.486 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3 mL of THF was added. After 4.5 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and the reaction mixture was worked-up as before to give, after flash chromatography (gradient from $3 \%$ to $5 \%$ ether / hexane), the title compound ( $381.2 \mathrm{mg}, 57.4 \%$ ). IR (neat): $3070,2960,2937$, $2905,2260,1640,1615,1588,1513,1247 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72$ (d, $J=$ $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93$ (s, 3 H ). HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} 302.1873$, found 302.1877 .
Pd(II) Reaction of 9d. Formation of Diene 10d, A mixture of ketone 9d ( $26.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol})$, and BBEDA ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an NMR tube. After 23.5 h , reaction was completed. The mixture was diluted with hexane to transfer, evaporated in vacuo, and directly chromatographed (eluting with $20 \%$ ether/hexane) to give 10 d as as ca. 10:1 trans/cis ratio ( $17.5 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%, R_{f} 0.38$ with $40 \%$ ether/hexane). This product was very unstable and polymerizes very readily even at room temperature. IR (neat): 2945, 2860, 2830, 1680, 1595, 1508, 1245, $1170,1155,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 300.1725 , found 300.1721.
$\mathbf{P d}($ II) Reaction of 9 e , Formation of diene 10 e. A mixture of enyne $9 e(140.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.466 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\left(0-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(19.4 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.023 mmol ) in 5 mL of benzene was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min . The cooled mixture was poured onto a silica gel column and eluted with $5 \%$ ether/hexane to give diene 10 e ( $108.28 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%, R_{f} 0.27$ with $20 \%$ ether/ hexane). IR (neat): $3075,2957,1617,1515,1250,1085,1037,825$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.16(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 159.07,146.80,142.92,131.20,128.78(2 \mathrm{C}), 121.97$, 113.76 (2C), $104.87,85.38,70.22,70.12,57.99,55.23,44.81,40.58$, 26.65, 22.16. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} 302.1882$, found 302.1883 .

NMR data of 11 e were discernible by NMR difference from reactions run with crystallized versus precipitated catalyst. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ (s, 3H), $2.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.91 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.07,145.13,141.32$, 131.17, 128.99 (2C), 126.46, 113.72 (2C), 112.09, 90.18, 70.87, 70.09, 58.16, 55.20, 46.14, 40.18, 26.62, 21.44.
$\mathbf{P d}($ II) Reaction of 9 . Formation of diene 10f, A mixture of enyne Of ( $57.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$, and BBEDA $(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an NMR tube. After $14.75 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was directly poured onto a silica gel column and eluted with $10 \%$
and then $25 \%$ ether /hexane to give diene $10 f$ ( $>11: 1$ trans/cis) ( 53.6 mg , $93 \%, R_{f} 0.32$ with $40 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat): $3060,2940,2860$, 1610, 1510, 1243, 1110, 1075, 1030, 867, $820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{I}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (td, $J=6.8,1.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81$ (s, 3 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.81,147.34,142.01,131.32$, 128.69 (2C), 122.27, 113.58 (2C), 103.35, 85.19, 72.18, 70.10, 58.55, $55.20,44.98,40.39,30.31,26.63,22.3$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C 75.91; H, 8.92; MW, 316.2038. Found: C,75.87; H, 8.87; MW, 316.2034

NMR data of 11 were discernible by difference between the $2: 1$ mixture from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ alone and the above. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.25 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.4-2.6$ (other d overlapping with signals from $Z$-isomer, 1 H ), 2.11 (d, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.87,145.49,140.55,131.29$, 129.01 (2C), 125.67, 113.60 (2C), 111.00, $90.68,72.07,70.57,58.54$, 55.23, 46.62, 39.87, 29.44, 26.76, 21.52.

Pd (II) Reaction of 9 g . Preparation of diene $\mathbf{1 0 g}$. Following the above protocol, enyne $9 \mathrm{~g}(30.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014$ mmol ), and BBEDA ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave after 14 h (note: silica gel column pretreated with $1 \%$ triethylamine in hexane, eluting with $5 \%$ ether/hexane) the diene 10 g ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$, $R_{f} 0.49$ with $10 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat): $3060,2840,1610,1510$, $1460,1298,1240,1167,1080,1032,855,840,830 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 . \mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dt}$ $J=15.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), $0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.76,155.78$, 148.43, 131.36, 128.62 (2C), 123.96, 113.53 (2C), 105.44, 88.11, 69.90 , $55.24,44.15,40.08,26.79,22.18,0.53$ (3C). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 72.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.15$. Found: C, 72.73; H, 9.27.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of Z -isomer $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ discernible from the 3:1 $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture obtained from using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ only: $\delta 7.28$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $2.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$

Pd(II) Reaction of 9 h . Preparation of Diene 10h. Following the above protocol, enyne 9 h ( $34.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, and BBEDA ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave after 22.5 h the diene 10 h , which was purified by flash chromatography ( 26.9 mg , $79 \%, R_{f} 0.38$ with $10 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat): $3060,2940,2860$, $1610,1505,1462,1295,1240,1168,1072,1030,865,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.53 (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.03 (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} 272.1776$, found 272.1785 .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 11 h discernible from the $74 \% E$ and $22 \% \mathrm{Z}$ mixture of the geometrical isomers from the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ reation $\delta^{5} 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06 (d, $J=15.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H).

Pd(II) Reaction of 9 . Following the above protocol, enyne 9 ( 33.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$, and BBEDA ( 2.9 mg , 0.011 mmol ) in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ gave after 1 h and flash chromatography ( $5 \%$ ether/hexane containing $1 \%$ triethylamine) $10 \mathrm{i}\left(10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%, R_{f}\right.$ 0.24 with $10 \%$ ether/hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.27$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01$ (s, 1H), $3.92(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. The sensitivity of this compound toward hydrolysis led to its characterization as the hydrolysis product 2 -formyl-3-( $(p$-methoxy-benzyl)oxy)-1,4,4-trimethyl-1-cyclopentene. IR (neat): 2940, 2900, 2745, $2715,1665,1610,1505,1240,1075,1050,1030,830,810 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.66 (d, $J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 274.1569, found: 274.1568.
Pd(II) Reaction of 14. Preparation of Diene 15. Following the above procedure, enyne 14 ( $43.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023$ mmol), and BBEDA ( $5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.6 mL of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ gave, after 13.5 h and flash chromatography ( $20 \%$ ether/hexane), the diene 15 ( 37 $\mathrm{mg}, 85 \%, R_{f} 0.42$ with $40 \%$ ether/hexane). IR (neat): $3060,2940,1733$, $1430,1255,1240,1225,1195,1065,855,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.98(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 171.39(2 \mathrm{C}), 151.62,145.51,119.35,105.38$, 57.55, 52.63 (2C), 40.78, 40.28, -0.72 (2C). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22^{-}}$ $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ : C, 59.54; H, 7.85; MW, 282.1287. Found: C, 59.35; H, 7.81; MW, 282.1289.
Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 16. Preparation of Diene 17. Following the above protocol, enyne 16 ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(21.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.096 mmol ), and BBEDA ( $23.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3.7 mL of benzene at $45-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave, after 1 h and flash chromatography ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in pentane), the diene 17 ( $257.2 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%, R_{f} 0.29$ with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3018, 2962, 1719, 1652, 1623, 1439, 1358, $1250,1196,1177 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.13$ (t, $J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ (s, 3H), 3.12 (ddt, $J=19.0,8.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ $2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$166.0994, found 166.0998 .
Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 18, Preparation of Diene 19, The 1,7enyne 18 (distilled at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oven temperature at $<1 \mathrm{mmHg}$ before use, $68.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.41 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an NMR tube were heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 7.25 h , the solvent was removed in vacuo (cool water bath) and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (elution with neat hexane to $3 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give 19 as a colorless oil, 41.1 mg ( $60 \%$ yield), $R_{f}$ 0.29 with $1 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane. IR (neat): 3070, 2947, 2850 1718, 1641, 1470, 1386, 1370, 1332, 1254, 1194, 1157, 1066, 1035, 1004, $917,840,793 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.71-5.75$ ( m with sharp singlet at $5.74,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 338.2277$, found 338.2276 .
Preparation of 1-Acetoxy-1-cyclohexyl-2-propene, Cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to vinylmagnesium bromide ( 15 mL of a 1 M solution in THF, 15 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min , acetic anhydride ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was poured into ether and $10 \%$ aqueous hydrochloric acid. The organic phase was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous potassium hydroxide, water, and saturated aqueous sodium chloride. Drying of the organic phase with magnesium sulfate was followed by evaporation of volatiles at reduced pressure and bulb-to-bulb distillation ( $60 \%$ at 0.05 mmHg ) to yield the title compound, $1.05 \mathrm{~g}(58 \%)$, as a clear oil. IR (CDC1 $): 2939,2860,1730,1452,1373$, $1251 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.78$ (ddd, $J=16.9,6.8$, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 72.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.98$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 72.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.41$.

Preparation of (E)-1-Cyclohexyl-4,4-dicarbomethoxyhept-1-ene-6-yne (20a). Dimethyl propargylmalonate ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a rapidly stirred suspension of sodium hydride ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 0.500 mL ). To this solution was added the above allylic acetate ( 150 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and a solution of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( 0 ) ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in THF ( 0.500 mL ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 8 h . The crude reaction mixture was added to a flash column and the title compound eluted ( $10: 1$ hexane/ether), providing an oil which slowly crystallized, 170 mg ( $71 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 36-37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3305, 2928, 2850, 1738, 1452, 1441, 1210 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=15,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=15,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$292.1668, found 292.1677.
Preparation of ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-1-(Cyclohexylmethylidene)-2-methylene-4,4-dicarbomethoxycyclopentane (21a). The yellow solution resulting from addition of palladium acetate ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine
( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to enyne $20(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 0.75 mL of THF was heated at reflux for 4 h . Purification consisted of eluting through a flash chromatography column ( $10: 1$ hexane/ether) to give a clear oil, 16 mg (64\% yield). IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2939, 2859, 1733, 1455, 1441, 1255 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10$ (m, 1H), 1.70-1.00(m, 10H). UV (95\% EtOH) $\lambda_{\max }=255 \mathrm{~nm}, \epsilon=$ 5800. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$292.1668, found 292.1667.

Preparation of 6,6-Dicarbomethoxy-4 $\alpha$-cyclohexyl-1,3-dioxo$1,3 a \beta, 4,5,6,7,8,8 a \beta$-octahydroindeno 5,6 -c]furan (Diels-Alder Adduct of 21a). A mixture of the diene 21 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), maleic anhydride ( $11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.117 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and BSA ( 0.010 mL ) in toluene ( 0.750 mL ) was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The toluene was removed in vacuo, and the resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography ( $1: 1$ hexane/ether), yielding a white solid, $18 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$, $\mathrm{mp} 124-125.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $2935,2859,1850,1780,1736,1439,1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{AB}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 390.1671$, found 390.1679 .

Preparation of 4,4-Dicarbomethoxy-1-methylene-2-(cyclopentylmethylidene)cyclohexane (22). The enyne $20(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was passed through a hot zone at $575^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.05 mmHg using a flash vacuum thermolysis apparatus. The oil, collected using a dry-ice trap, was purified by flash chromatography ( $10: 1$ hexane/ether), yielding a clear colorless oil, $21 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2962, 2940, 2860, 1734, 1445, 1281 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75$ (s, 1H), $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{AB}, J=16.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 292.16688$, found 292.1664.

Preparation of ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-4,4-Bis(phenylsulfonyl)-7-cyclohexylhept-6-en-1yne (20b). To a solution of 4,4-bis(phenylsulfonyl)-3-butyne ( 108 mg , 0.30 mmol ) in THF ( 0.500 mL ) was added sodium hydride ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28$ mmol ) in one poroom temperatureion followed by BSA ( 0.010 mL ), 1 -acetoxy-1-cyclohexyl-2-propene ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and a solution of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium( 0 ) ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 0.500 mL ). After being heated at reflux for 3.5 h , the crude reaction mixture was added to a flash chromatography column and the title compound eluted ( $1: 1$ hexane/ ether) as an oil ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) which crystallized ( $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{162-164}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3310,3065,2926,2852,1731,1582,1447,1330,1310,1143$, $1074,968 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7,1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.1-6.9(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=15,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=7,15$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 65.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.18$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 65.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.48$.

Preparation of ( $E$ )-1-(Cyclohexylmethylidene)-2-methylene-4,4-bis(phenylsulfonyl)cyclopentane (21b). A mixture of enyne 20b (18 mg, 0.042 mmol ) and bis(triphenylphosphine) palladium acetate ( $1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002$ mmol ) in 0.4 mL of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . Addition to a flash chromatography column and elution (2:1 hexane/ ether) provided the title compound as a viscous oil ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3037,2928,2855,1448,1328,1310,1143,1076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.12$ (dd, $\left.J=6.4,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 65.76$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.18$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.29$.

Preparation of 4,4-Dicarbomethoxy 7(R),8-Diol Acetonide (25), BSA $(0.020 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), 3-acetoxypent-1-ene-4(R),5-diol acetonide ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.425$ mmol ), and triphenylphosphine ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) followed by a solution of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium( 0 ) ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in THF ( 0.750 mL ) were added to dimethyl sodiopropargylmalonate prepared by adding the malonate ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to a slurry of sodium hydride ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 0.75 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was heated at reflux for 11 h and the crude reaction mixture added to a flash chromatography column and eluted ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether) to yield a clear oil, $72 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3307, 2994, 2960, 2980, 1738, $1440,1210,1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, J=6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.55$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 61.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.14 ; \mathrm{MW}$, 295.1176. Found: C, 61.92; H, 7.09; MW, 295.1184.

Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 25. Preparation of Diene 26. A mixture
of enyne 25 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium acetate ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenylphosphine ( $0.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ was heated at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.75 h . Direct addition of the mixture to a flash chromatography column and elution (5:1 hexane/ether) gave a clear oil ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield) identified as the title compound. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2985,2955,1731,1434,1250,1203,1051 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 310.1410$, found: 310.1419 .

Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 27a. Preparation of Diene 29a. A solution of enyne $27 \mathrm{a}^{33}(2.59 \mathrm{~g}, 6.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(tri-o-tolylphosphine) palladium acetate ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 26 mL of benzene was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Upon concentration in vacuo, the residue was flash chromatographed ( $4 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give 2.08 g ( $80 \%$ yield) of diene 29a as a colorless oil. IR (neat): 2850, 1655, 1615, 1585, 1515, 830 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.27$ (d, $\left.J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.8$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{bt}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (dd, $J=5.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (d, $J$ $=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{bd}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00(\mathrm{bd}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07$ (s, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $15.0 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.8,146.7,140.2,131.0$, $128.6,125.2,113.6,104.3,85.2,70.1,61.4,55.2,44.9,40.6,26.8,26.1$, 25.7, 22.3, 18.4, -5.0. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 402.2591$, found 402.2579 .

Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 27b, Following the above protocol, enyne 27 b ( $1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(tri-o-tolylphosphine) palladium acetate ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave after 30 min at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and flash chromatography ( $3 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) 1.679 g ( $92 \%$ yield) of diene 29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.83 (bs, 1H), 4.26 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (s, 2H), 2.03 (s, 2H), 0.99 $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $15 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $148.7,140.2,120.2,103.1,61.8,48.7,45.0,36.5,28.0,26.2,18.6,-4.8$. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ OSi 266.3200 , found 266.3188

Preparation of 3-Acetoxy-1,6-heptadiene (37a). Following the procedure for 3-hydroxy-1,8-nonadiene (vide infra), a mixture of 1,2-epoxy5 -hexene $(4.91 \mathrm{~g}, 5.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and periodic acid dihydrate $(17.09$ $\mathrm{g}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 100 mL ) at reflux for 2 h followed by addition of vinylmagnesium bromide ( 55 mL of a 0.5 M solution in THF, 27.5 mmol) afforded, after workup and flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexane/ ether), 1.75 g ( $31 \%$ yield) of 3-hydroxy-1,6-heptadiene. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3590,1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40-$ $4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H).

Acetylation of the above was performed by standard methods using 3-hydroxy-1,6-heptadiene ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( 2.94 $\mathrm{g}, 2.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 2.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to afford, after workup and Kugelrohr distillation ( $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ), $1.45\left(65 \%\right.$ yield) of the title compound. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3060,1724,1638$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.10$ (m, 2H), $2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 154.0994, found 154.1000 .

Preparation of 8,8-Dicarbomethoxy-1,5-undecadien-10-yne (38a), The title compound was prepared analogously to compound 42b (vide infra) using sodium hydride ( $50 \%$ dispersion in oil, $587 \mathrm{mg}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl 2-carbomethoxy-4-pentynoate ( 2.41 mmol ), allyl acetate $37 \mathrm{a}(1.45 \mathrm{~g}$, 9.4 mmol ), palladium acetate ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $316 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $n$-butyllithium ( 0.54 mL of a 1.55 M solution in hexane, 0.36 mmol ) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to afford, after workup and flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether), 1.72 g ( $69 \%$ yield) of 38a as a 4.8:1 trans/cis mixture of isomers by gas chromatography (retention time: trans, 12.1 min ; cis, 12.3 min ). $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 3295, $1735,1638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.73 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.09 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.75(2 \mathrm{C}), 137.62,134.95,123.10,114.50,71.17,56.80$ 52.25 (2C), 35.05, 33.20, 31.64, 22.33. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.16; H, 7.63; MW, 292.1675. Found: C, 67.99; H, 7.61; MW, 292.1683.

Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 38a. Preparation of Diene 39a. Palladium acetate ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and enyne 38 a ( $272 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene were heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h to afford, after flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether), 217 mg ( $82 \%$ yield) of trienes 39 a and 40a as a 15.3:1
mixture by gas chromatography (retention time: 39a, $12.8 \mathrm{~min} ; 40 \mathrm{a}$, 12.4 min ). IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1732,1540,1434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.0-5.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99$ (d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~b}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.75$ (2C), $145.06,138.05$, $136.85,121.52,114.86,102.92,62.73,41.50,37.79,33.24,29.01$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 264.1362, found 264.1367.

A 1:2.1 mixture of 39a and $40 \mathrm{a}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ prepared in $77 \%$ yield using bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium acetate as catalyst was allowed to stand with maleic anhydride ( $14.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.1 mL of benzene at room temperature for 12 h to afford, after flash chromatography ( $1: 2$ hexane/ether), 5.1 mg ( $60 \%$ yield based on the recovery of 40a) of the Diels-Alder adduct and 11.2 mg ( $81 \%$ recovery) of 1,4 -diene 40 a .

Diels-Alder Adduct. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1845,1778,1730,1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.78$ (ddt, $\left.J=17.1,10,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.06$ (dq, $J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dm}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.43(\mathrm{td}, J=8.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 2.64 (bd, $J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.59 (bd, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.37 (bdd, $J=17.3,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.6,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.6,65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 173.85$, 172.08, 171.84, 171.23, 137.23, 136.12, 132.30, 115.72, 58.25, 52.94, 43.93, 43.49, 42.22, 40.19, 34.90, 31.63, 28.61, 23.47. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathbf{3 6 2 . 1 3 6 5}$, found 362.1365 .

1,4-Diene 40a. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1725,1655,1638,1431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.78$ (ddt, $\left.J=17.7,10.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.51(\mathrm{td}$, $J=15.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.81 (bd, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{bd}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.95 (dq, $J=17.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (td, $J=6.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.55 (ddd, $J=17.1,7.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 172.8,171.99,150.95,136.91,131.90,129.80$, 115.08, 107.80, 58.47, 52.77, 52.70, 46.60, 40.73, 40.30, 36.51.

Preparation of 4,4-DiCarbomethoxy-6-undecen-1-yne (38b), To a solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 12.5 mL of a 1.57 M solution in hexane, 19.6 mmol ) in THF ( 36 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added acrolein ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{~mL}$, 17.8 mmol ) slowly. After 15 min , methyl chloroformate ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mL}$, 26.7 mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then to room temperature and stirred for 12 h . The reaction mixture was poured into ether, washed with water ( $2 \times$ ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride, and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed in vacuo to afford 2.57 g ( $84 \%$ yield) of crude methyl 1 -hepten3 -ylcarbonate. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1750,1440,1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.78$ (ddd, $J=17.2,10.1,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.29 (d, $J=$ $17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

To the above carbonate ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl 2 -carbo-methoxy-4-pentynoate ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 4 mL ) at room temperature was added tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium [prepared in situ from tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium-chloroform ( 52 mg , 0.05 mmol ) and triphenylphosphine ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ )] in THF ( 2 mL ). After 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude material was flash chromatographed ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether). A few of the fractions containing the desired product also contained dibenzylideneacetone. These fractions were collected, and 2-thioethanol was added. This solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude material was filtered through silica gel eluting with $5: 1$ hexane/ether to give a total yield of 268 mg ( $50 \%$ yield) of the title compound as a $7.5: 1$ mixture of trans/cis isomers. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3300,2120,1735,1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : o 5.60 (dt, $J=13.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.76,170.70,136.81,123.05,79.43$, $71.74,57.64,53.19,53.10,35.84,32.73,31.97,23.09,22.59,14.35$. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ 235.1335, found 235.1337.

Pd (II) Reaction of Enyne 38b. Preparation of Diene 40b. Palladium acetate ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and enyne 38 b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 3.7 mL ) were heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to afford, after flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether), 39 mg ( $39 \%$ yield) of diene 40b. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\mathbf{3 0 4 0}, 1730,1661,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.45$ (dt, $\left.J=15.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.32$ (dd, $J=15.2$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (s, 3H), $3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=18.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52$ (dd, $J=13.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36$ (sextet, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 172.28$, $172.10,155.22,132.49,130.68,107.65,58.36,52.78$ (2C), 46.74, 40.79,
40.18, 34.51, 22.55, 13.58. HRMS calced for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$266.1519, found: 266.1510 .

Preparation of 9,9-Dicarbome thoxy-1,6-dodecadien- 11 -yme (42a). The title compound was prepared analogously to 42b using a mixture of allyl acetates ${ }^{20} 54$ and 55 ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( $50 \%$ dispersion in oil, $556 \mathrm{mg}, 116 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl 2 -carbomethoxy-4-pentynoate ( 2.28 $\mathrm{g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium acetate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $690 \mathrm{mg}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $n$-butyllithium ( 0.57 mL of a 1.55 M solution in hexane) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h to afford, after workup and flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether), 1.70 g ( $70 \%$ yield) of 42 a as a 6.3:1 trans/cis mixture of isomers by gas chromatography (retention time: trans, 13.1 min ; cis, 13.3 min ). IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3300, 2258, 1731, $1635,1434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.78$ (ddt, $J=17.0$, $10.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2$, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.2,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{p}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 170.21(2 \mathrm{C}), 138.57,135.85,123.11,114.53,78.98,71.26$, 57.20, 52.61 (2 C), 35.42, 33.10, 31.95, 28.59, 22.69. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 69.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.97$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 69.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.08$.

Pd(II) Reaction of Enyne 42a. Palladium acetate ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and enyne 42a ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmoL}$ ) in benzene ( 10 mL ) were heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 23 h to afford, after flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ ether), 211 mg ( $76 \%$ yield) of product as a $21: 1$ 43a/44a mixture by gas chromatography (retention time: $43 \mathrm{a}, 14.2 \mathrm{~min} ; 44 \mathrm{a}, 13.8 \mathrm{~min}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.00-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

The above mixture ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with maleic anhydride ( $105 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 0.7 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature over 2 h to afford, after flash chromatography ( $1: 2$ hexane/ ether), 104 mg ( $76 \%$ yield) of the Diels-Alder adduct and 5 mg ( $65 \%$ recovery) of 44a.
Diels-Alder adduct. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 1846, 1780, 1730, 1639, 1431, $1262 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.78$ ( $\mathrm{ddt}, J=17.7,10.6$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{td}, J=9.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{bd}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.97,172.11,171.88,171.30,127.91,126.11,132.00$, 124.99, 58.15, 52.95, 52.92, 44.10, 43.43, 42.38, 40.02, 35.58, 33.48, 29.02, 27.03, 23.25. HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 376.1522$, found 376.1522.

Triene 44. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3063,1730,1434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ (dd, $J=12.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94$ (dd, $J=11.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ $\left(\mathrm{bd}_{\mathrm{ab}}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{q}, J=17.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.51(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.0,8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 172.13$ (2C), $151.09,138.25$, $131.79,131.09,114.73,107.82,58.38,52.83,52.77,46.70,40.75,40.21$, 33.69,31.83. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$278.1519, found 278.1505.

Preparation of 3 -Acetoxy-1,8-nonadiene. To a solution of periodic acid dihydrate ( $27.58 \mathrm{~g}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 mL of ether at room temperature was added dropwise 1,2-epoxy-7-octene ${ }^{20}(12.62 \mathrm{~g}, 15.08$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.100 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 5.5 h and filtered (washing the solid several times with ether), the resulting filtrate was washed with water ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( 25 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated. The crude aldehyde was added dropwise to a stirred solution of vinylmagnesium bromide ( 300 mL of a 0.5 M solution in THF, 150 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 hat room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 75 mL ). The organic phase was decanted, and the aqueous phase was washed with ether ( $3 \times 75$ mL ). The combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( 50 mL ) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Concentration in vacuo and flash chromatography of the crude material ( $1: 2$ hexane/ether) afforded 5.55 g ( $40 \%$ yield from epoxide) of 3 -hydroxy-1,8-nonadiene. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3580,1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.98$ (ddd, $\left.J=17.6,10.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.77$ (tdd, $J=17.6$, $10.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.98 (m, 2H), 4.11 (br p, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.08 (br d, $J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
To a solution of acetic anhydride ( $8.17 \mathrm{~g}, 7.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 80 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine ( $7.91 \mathrm{~g}, 8.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $0.488 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 80 mL of methylene chloride at room temperature was added 3 -hydroxy- 1,8 -
nonadiene ( $5.55 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. After 2 h at room temperature, the solution was diluted with 30 mL of ether, washed with saturated aqueous copper(II) sulfate ( $2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( $1 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Concentration and flash chromatography of the crude material ( $2: 1$ hexane/ether) afforded 6.45 g ( $88 \%$ yield) of the title compound. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1725,1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.35 (br m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.25$, $138.64,136.53,116.54,114.44,74.73,34.01,33.56,28.60,24.50,21.24$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$182.1307, found 182.1301 .

Preparation of 10,10-Dicarbomethoxy-1,7-tridecadien-12-yne (42b). Methyl 2-carbomethoxy-4-pentynoate ( $281 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a suspension of sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ oil dispersion, 57 mg , 1.43 mmol , washed with THF) in 2 mL of dry THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 $\min$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, allylic acetate $37 \mathrm{a}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature. The carbanion solution was added to tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium [generated in situ from palladium acetate ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $n$-butyllithium ( 0.075 mL of a 1.47 M solution in hexane, 0.11 mmol )] in THF ( 0.64 mL ) at room temperature. After 20 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with ether ( 1 mL ), washed with water ( $1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude material was purified by flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether) to afford 302 mg ( $95 \%$ yield) of the title compound as a $4: 1$ trans/cis mixture. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3250,2245,1735,1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 5.80$ (ddt, $J=17.0,10.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.18,170.13,138.77,136.00,122.87$, $114.25,78.93,71.27,57.16,52.54$ (2С), 35.38, 33.51, 32.35, 28.75, 28.28, 22.65. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 69.84; H, 8.27; MW, 292.1675 . Found: C, 69.93; H, 8.25; MW, 292.1683.

Preparation of ( $\boldsymbol{Z}$ )-1-Iodo-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-propene. Following the standard procedure, ${ }^{34}$ imidazole ( $85.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), tertbutylchlorodimethylsilane ( $90.4 \mathrm{~g}, 600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and propargyl alcohol $(28.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 500 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave, after distillation $\left(55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{mmHg}\right.$, lit. ${ }^{34} 37-39^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 4.0 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ), 82.43 g ( $97 \%$ yield) of 3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-propyne. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3295, 2110 , $1255,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

To a solution of the above silyl ether $(10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$-butyllithium ( 48.6 mL of a 1.33 M solution in hexane, 64.6 mmol ). After 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of iodine $(17.14 \mathrm{~g}, 67.5$ mmol ) in 25 mL of THF at room temperature was added to the cold solution and the mixture was warmed to room temperature to give a dark-brown solution. After addition of ether, the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium thiosulfate ( $3 x$ ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give 19.95 g of crude 1-iodo-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-propyne. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2205, 1255, $1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.11 (s, 6H).

Following standard procedures, ${ }^{35}$ dicyclohexylborane prepared from a borane-dimethyl sulfide complex ( $4.93 \mathrm{~g}, 6.15 \mathrm{~mL} ; 65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cyclohexene ( $11.75 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 143 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) reacted with crude alkynyl iodide ( 59 mmol ) followed by protonation with 20 mL of glacial acetic acid to give, after Kugelrohr distillation ( $110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.50 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ), 6.71 g ( $38 \%$ yield) of $(Z)$-1-iodo-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-propene. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1615,1465,1260,1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 141.24,80.09,66.83,25.86,18.25,-5.18$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{19}$ IOSi: $\mathrm{C}, 38.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.42$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 37.88 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.65.

Preparation of cis-1-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-8-(trimethylsilyl)-2-octen-7-yne. Chlorotrimethylsilane ( $16.15 \mathrm{~g}, 18.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 148.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to lithiated 4-pentyn-1-ol prepared from the alcohol ( 5.00 $\mathrm{g}, 59.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 98.3 mL of a 1.33 M solution, 130.8 mmol ) in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room

[^8]temperature to give a clear homogenous solution. To this solution was added aqueous 3 N HCl ( 160 mL ). After 4 h at room temperature, the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( $2 x$ ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give the crude alcohol. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3600,2165,1247 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{p}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 1.61 (bs, 1H), 0.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ).

The above crude alcohol was added to a mixture of triphenylphosphine $(17.17 \mathrm{~g}, 65.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bromine $(10.46 \mathrm{~g}, 65.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 120 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h at room temperature, hexane was added, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and saturated aqueous sodium chloride, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product was distilled $\left(78-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ to give 10.39 g ( $80 \%$ yield) of 1-bromo-5-(trimethylsilyl)-4-pentyne. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2175, $1439,1256 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{p}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

To a nonstirring mixture of magnesium turnings ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 11.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in enough THF to barely cover the turnings to which was added one crystal of iodine was added a few drops of the above bromide. ${ }^{36}$ The reaction mixture was warmed slightly $\left(30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ until the mixture began to effervesce. The remainder of the bromide in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the above mixture at a rate to maintain a gentle reflux. After an additional hour at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, this Grignard reagent was transferred via cannula into a suspension of anhydrous zinc(II) chloride $(12.87 \mathrm{~g}, 13.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which came to room temperature over 1 h to give a thick white suspension. To this rapidly stirred mixture was added ( $Z$ )-iodo-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1propene ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 9.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium [prepared from dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $322 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46$ mmol), triphenylphosphine ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and disobutylaluminum hydride ( 0.91 mL of a 1.0 M solution in hexane)] in THF ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h ; an ether/ water mixture was then added. Aqueous $3 \mathbf{N ~ H C l}$ was added to the aqueous phase to make it homogenous. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $3 x$ ), the combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was flash chromatographed ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether) to give $2.35 \mathrm{~g}(83 \% \text { yield) of the title compound. IR (CDCl) })_{3}: 3150,2168$, $1460,1250,1075 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.54$ (dtt, $J$ $=10.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.9,7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ $(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 130.62,129.55,107.05,84.71,59.40,28.36,26.53$, $25.95,19.28,18.34,0.14$ (3C), -5.09 (2C). HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{OSi}$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) 310.2149, found 310.2163 .

Preparation of Methyl cis-9-Hydroxy-7-nonen-2-ynoate, To a solution of the above silyl ether in methanol ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added potassium carbonate ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 6.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . An ether/water mixture was added, and the organic phase was washed with water and saturated aqueous sodium chloride, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered to give 1.25 g ( $92 \%$ yield) of crude cis-1-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-2-octen-7-yne. IR (CDCl) $)_{3}$ : $3305,1208 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.54(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.9,6.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.9,7.3$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{p}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 130.73$, $129.46,84.22,68.46,59.39,28.22,26.46,25.98$ (3C), 18.39, 17.84, -5.10 (2C).

To a solution of the above alkyne in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$-butyllithium ( 4.46 mL of a 1.40 M solution in hexane, 6.2 mmol ). After 45 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, methyl chloroformate ( $786 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature. Ether was added, the solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give 1.51 g ( $98 \%$ yield) of crude methyl cis-9-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-7-nonen-2-ynoate. IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3120,1710$, $1415 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.54$ (dtt, $J=10.9,6.1$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.9,7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

A solution of the above ynoate $(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 5.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ), water ( 4 mL ), and glacial acetic acid ( 12 mL ) was stirred at room
(36) Cf. Negishi, E.; Valente, L. F.; Kobayashi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3298.
temperature for 2 h . Ether and water were added, and the acidic solution was neutralized by adding solid sodium carbonate. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( $3 x$ ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered. The crude product was flash chromatographed ( $2: 1$ ethyl acetate:/hexane) to give 412 mg ( $48 \%$ overall yield) of the title compound. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3600,2240,1710,1440,1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.68$ (dtt, $J=10.9,6.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.48 (dtt, $J=10.9,7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 1.58 (bs, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.17,130.77$, 130.07, 89.14, 73.21, 58.43, 52.60, 26.99, 26.17, 17.88. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OH}\right) 165.0916$, found 165.0912 .

Preparation of Methyl cis-10,10-Dicarbomethoxy-7-tridecen-2,12diynoate (46). To a solution of the above allyl alcohol ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane/ether ( $1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pyridine $(11 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phophorus tribromide ( $683 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and then poured into a saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate/ice mixture. The aqueous phase was extracted with hexane ( $2 \times$ ), the combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude bromide was filtered through a pad of silica gel, eluting with ethyl acetate/hexane (2:1) to give 530 mg ( $99 \%$ yield) of methyl cis-9-bromo-7-nonen-2-ynoate. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2240, 1710, 1433, $1258 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.78$ (dtt, $J=10.9,6.1$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.9,7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 1.67 ( $\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

To THF-washed sodium hydride ( 53 mg of a $60 \%$ dispersion in oil, 1.32 mmol ) suspended in THF ( 4 mL ) at room temperature was added methyl 2 -carbomethoxy-4-pentynoate ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until hydrogen evolution ceased (about 10 min ). To this solution was added the above bromide ( 270 mg , 1.10 mmol ). After 4.5 h at room temperature, an ether/water mixture was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $3 \times$ ), the combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was flash chromatographed (3:1 hexane/ether) to give 350 mg ( $95 \%$ yield) of the title compound. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3390,2230,1735,1438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.51$ (dtt, $J=10.9,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.17 (dtt, $J=10.9,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{p}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.71$ (2C), 154.14, 133.11, 123.46, 89.23, 78.86, 73.08, 71.51, 56.76, 52.78 (2C), 52.51, 29.87, 27.32, 26.38, 22.56, 18.19. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 59.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.87$. Found: C, 59.96; H, 7.96.

Pd(II) Reaction of Enediyne 46. A solution of palladium acetate ( 1.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and enyne 46 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2-dichloroethane was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After being cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product was flash
chromatographed ( $3: 1$ hexane/ether) to give 4.3 mg ( $9 \%$ yield) of methyl (2Z)-[cis-5,5-dicarbomethoxy-7-cycloundecen-2-yn-1-ylidene]acetate (51) as a white solid (mp $66-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unrecrystallized) and 23 mg ( $47 \%$ yield) of a 2.5:1 mixture of methyl (2E)-[2-(3,3-dicarbomethoxy-5-hexyn-1ylidene) cyclopent-1-ylidene]acetate (50) and methyl (7E)-7-(3,3-dicar-bomethoxy-5-methylidenecyclopent-1-ylidene)-2-heptynoate (49). Compounds 49 and 50 were isolated by MPLC ( $200-400$ mesh Kieselgel silica gel, $10 \mathrm{psig}, 2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, 5:1 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate).
49. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $2230,1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{p}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.76$ (2C), 154.22, 143.91, $136.07,125.93,111.07,89.28,57.42,52.84$ (2C), $52.60,42.90,42.66$, 29.71, 27.46, 18.38. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 334.1417$, found 343.1404.
50. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1735,1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.96(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{p}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.44$ (2C), 159.55 , $144.55,122.03,114.35,78.47,71.76,56.87,52.84,52.73,50.94,35.59$, 33.69, 31.61, 23.25, 22.89. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 334.1417$, found 334.1407 .
51. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2210,1730,1710,1616,1604,1425 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25$ (dt, $J=10.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (bs, 2H), 2.19 (bs, 2H), 1.76 (bs, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.71$ (2C), 166.39, 143.24, 134.74, 122.79, 121.75, 95.10, 84.76, $56.11,53.06$ (2C), 51.19, 31.57, 30.80, 27.01, 25.98, 24.04. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 334.1417$, found 334.1417 .

Preparation of Tricycle 52, A solution of dienyne 42a ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00$ mmol ) and palladium acetate ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of toluene was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h to afford, after flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane/ether), 199 mg ( $72 \%$ yield) of 52 as a $2: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1730,1635,1435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (mixture of isomers) $\delta 3.73$ (s, 6H), 2.91 (m, 4H), 2.29 (br d, $J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{p}, J=11.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (major isomer) $\delta 172.90$ (2C), 134.23, $130.86,57.68,52.56,45.17,43.98,42.70,39.67,37.36$, $30.13,27.21,26.28,24.25,22.40$; (minor isomer) $\delta 172.90(2 \mathrm{C}), 134.78$, $131.35,58.10,52.66,44.68,43.68,41.84,29.66,37.36,29.49,27.46$, 26.62, 23.46. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 278.1518$, found 278.1521 .
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